



The second is that they take a stellar object that is moving away from Earth, like in the principle of the expansion of the universe,
that is said to be expanding. But this is a mistake, another problem of observation.
They can only see the light emitted by stars or distant galaxies to study them, then they see that this light has a tint that moves
towards the reds side of the white or visible light spectrum. This is because, according to them, the red light wave is the longest
of all the colors that compose it. Therefore, the object they observe is moving away from them at high speed, according to their
science.

In part that is logical to observe. But they begin to generalize and that is where the big problem lies. Because they assume space
is a void, when it's not a void or empty space, It is a fluid or a liquid at high existential vibrational frequency.
So the light passes through said fluid and refracts it as it goes through it. Losing its power and leaving only the components of
the white light spectrum in reds, which are the ones that take the longest to dissolve or that can travel the furthest. This means
that the spectroscope readings that determine both if a galaxy or star are moving away, as the results of the chemical analysis of
a star based on the light it emits, are wrong, because the light is not the same nor with the same properties of when it came out
of the star.




species, it cannot create one species from another.
So human science is simply too far behind to understand why the Pleiades are full of life and they are not as young as they say
or calculate. It is only human egocentrism at work here assuming that they have already reached the power to understand
everything, when they are still far behind.
Besides all this, time in 5D is not linear. So it makes no sense to assign a linear time value to something from outside Earth.
Because they could only observe that with Earth's time frame of reference and that doesn't apply outside.



controversy with her, and I used that sentence about 5D in an explanatory way.
Questioner: I didn't understand this part very well. What do you mean when you said it gets refracted when it passes through it?
Anéeka: Yes, the light when it passes through the water is losing strength, starting with the bands of light of greater frequency,
the violet and the blues. Leaving the yellows and the orange and reds at the end. In other words, as light passes through space,
it becomes "tired". Because it is not an empty space, a void. It does offer resistance to the advancement of everything, including
photons.


Questioner: I understand, thank you. And is it this that the Earth science detects as the universe expanding?
Anéeka: Yes, they base it on that. And on their Big Bang theory, which never happened, since that is esoteric symbolism. In other
words, distant galaxies are not moving away, as they say, based on the light data they receive from them. Their light is only
getting tired because it comes from so far. They receive wrong data, so their conclusions are also wrong. As Yazhí would say, the
fact that the Universe is not expanding does not mean that it has no movement.




place or person, but it will change for another.
In other words, although if we could verify that a galaxy is moving away from us by observing, that movement or direction of
movement is only valid from our perception. But not for other people or points.
Precisely because all movement is subject to time, without time there is no movement. And since time is plastic and non-linear,
only semi-linear according to people and according to the agreements of perception they have with each other, even if a Galaxy
is moving in one direction, that is only valid for us and for no one else.

But the universe as a whole is out of all time. Because it comprises all existential frequencies and densities as a whole. So this is
where human concepts collapse, that the universe was created out of the Big Bang, and that one day it will end. Here you enter
into the concept of eternity. That the universe has always been and always will be. And also life.
Only having apparent cycles obeying apparent linear time, only applicable from the point of view of a density or frequency of
consciousness. That is why death does not exist, because it is only an apparent linearity, illusory within a greater a-temporal
frame, permanent, infinite.


Questioner: I see, in fact I always felt something like this, as everyone perceives reality different but, perhaps we understand it to
be the same by agreements (for example in the perception of colors and time)
Anéeka: Yes. We only see things in a similar way, by agreements, but it can never be the same. And the more people differ in
thinking, the more different it will be too.





Questioner: What do you mean by a midlife star?
Anéeka: Taking life as a reference from the moment a star is "born" until it "dies", they have half a life, like the sun-13. This
having as a time reference the apparently linear life cycle of the star of which we speak. As I have explained above, they are
eternal. But also all living beings are. So it is only from a particular frame of reference to how they perceive time, where it makes
any sense that it was born, lived and died.

Questioner: And what happens when their life ends? Although I understand the concept of eternity too.
Anéeka: The life of someone or of a star? Although technically a star is also someone.
Questioner: Of the star.



Anéeka: In equivalent yes. But for example, human science says that the Sun-13 is a yellow star, but that color tint is only
observed from Earth, below the Van Allen bands. From the outer space outside the Van Allen bands, it is perceived as white.
Another example of how colors are altered and it changes the data received by humans.
Questioner: Since all suns are portals, where does your sun Taygeta connect? I mean, where is the black hole of your star?